

July 16, 2013 (#1373)

Alan Watt "Cutting Through The Matrix" LIVE on RBN:

Poem Copyright Alan Watt July 16, 2013:

"Democracy's" the Tool Of Corporate Rule:

"Corporate Tyranny, the Meme of the Age,

Warned of by Many a Weary Sage,

Too-Big-to-Fail Banks and Corporations

Create Havoc as They Plunder Nations,

Their Proxy Governments Bail Them Out,

While Their Newspapers Yell and Shout

"Bail Them or There'll Be a Depression",

Panicked Public Never Learn the Lesson,

Nor Given Choices, They can Never Relax

As Bail-Outs Require Upping the Tax,

Reality of Democratic Living

Is Elite Keep Taking and You Keep Giving"

© Alan Watt July 16, 2013

**Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - July 16, 2013 (Exempting Music,
Literary Quotes, and Callers' Comments)**

cuttingthroughthematrix.com

alternate sites:

cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca

alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European
languages for print up:

alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

mirror site:

cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS:

Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks &
for the US, **INTERNATIONAL** postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal
money orders

(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green
internal one.)

Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars:
mail via the postal services worldwide.)

PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

Click the link below for your location (ordering info):

[USA](#)

[Canada](#)

[Europe/Scandinavian](#)

[All Other Countries](#)

Hi, folks. I'm Alan Watt and this is **Cutting Through the Matrix** on the 16th of

July, 2013.

I always say to newcomers: look into **cuttingthroughthematrix.com**. You'll see a bunch of official sites listed there that I have. They all carry lots of audios for download for free, where I go through the system that we're born into – how it works, how it was set up and the cons that are pulled to keep you, basically, living in a form of hypnosis, thinking it's reality, on behalf of the big boys that control the planet. I put a lot of information there to do with the foundations and the parallel government (they call themselves) that run the world – the ones that really run the world. And it's worth going through because you'll get a shortcut to understanding the big system.

And, also, remember too, on the sites you get transcripts for print up, of lots of the talks I've given over the years in English. And you'll see on the site too, **alanwattsentientsentinel.eu**, where you can get transcripts for print up in other languages. Remember too, you bring me to you because I don't bring on advertisers as guests and so on. I simply come out with what I'm talking about and I put the books and disks out that I have, at **cuttingthroughthematrix.com**. So, if you want to keep me ticking along, you can buy them, hopefully, and learn how conology works and how it's always worked and how the art of conning masses of people is very, very old – going back to ancient times, in fact – and how it was developed through the centuries, up to the present time, where it's pretty-well perfected, through the neuroscience and psychology, behaviorism and so on. All these guys (big think tanks) worked for governments and the private organizations that really control government. So, you can help me out, as I say.

And, from the US to Canada, remember, you can always still use personal checks to order or international postal money orders from the post office, from the US to Canada. Or you can send cash or use PayPal. Across the world, you've got Western Union, MoneyGram and PayPal. Straight donations are really seriously welcome in this time of inflation and the devaluation of your currency, meaning you have less purchasing power. It's worth less and less and less. It doesn't matter what currency you use, it's going on across the world because we are global, you see. And the same bankers that set themselves up under official sounding names, long ago – private central banks, for instance, and their private organizations, like the Royal Institute for International Affairs – planned this out a hundred years ago, this whole system, this present time, including the destruction of the societies as they went along to bring in a global society. All the cultures had to be mushed up, destroyed and so on even the opposition whatsoever and then they'd run the world, globally and get their big bureaucratic systems in because they do believe in large government to control you on a sort of communistic almost system or a “socialist system”, as they prefer to call it, because big government controls every aspect of your life. And big government is in bed, of course, with private corporations – public-private partnerships, which benefit and profit awfully well from running our lives, because all money comes from the general public, the consumers, the producers and so on, that's where all money really comes from. And they're going to really soak you in the future because this is the whole craze today; that's what it's all about today. It's where do you get big, big money pots well you get it from governments. And you use the private banks to lend to the governments and the banks then have their own private income tax systems and then tax us to pay back all the money that's been borrowed with compound interest. It's a great, great business, ongoing business. And really, nothing that you have in a country is yours anymore. Your government isn't yours. The politicians all know this. It's just a big act. And the private foundations, like the

Royal Institute of International Affairs/Council on Foreign Relations, always put the top characters into government. It doesn't matter what party you vote for. They say it in their own writings that they own all of them. All the top people. It doesn't matter if they're left wing or right wing, they work together, folks. As I've mentioned too, why do you think all the Greenies and the so-called Lefties are all funded by the big foundations, that are owned by the richest people on the planet and some of them, the biggest bankers too.

Back with more, after this break.

{Break ♪}

Hi, folks. We're back. Cutting Through the Matrix. And what a matrix it is, isn't it? We're really, really controlled, even by the media; especially by the media, actually, and entertainment, of course, too, that puts you into a fuzzy state, where you're not sure what's real and what isn't, because everything that's happening and all the big changes that they're putting in, especially to do with observation of every citizen on the planet, is put into the media and the entertainment too. All the movies that you watch and the big dramas that go on right after 9/11 happened, everybody, every country made its own action, made its own version of anti-terrorist plots and so on and dramas and all this kind of stuff too, just to make sure you get the point, the big change was on. It had nothing to do with 9/11 at all. It was to bring on the totally predictable society, because in a totalitarian system, especially global, every citizen must be completely predictable, which means everyone must be under complete observation on a daily basis. And you are electronically, you see. But most folk adapt to it very quickly, of course, because the government pretends to give us their reasons and we pretend... or actually we are forced to go along with them. That's basically how government works. It's coercion. And we're given no choice, generally down the road about things. You either do this or... for instance smart meters. Some places in the US now, if you refuse a smart meter they just cut you right off and that's it. And that's where all things are going today. So, we don't really have choices when it comes to the big things at all. You can't opt out of using a computer and not being spied upon. It's built into your computers that the government agencies can all spy on you. So, you don't get a choice at all about it or anything else, for that matter, which is electronic. And again, most people accept it, especially the young folk. They think it's normal because the adults accept it. Anything the adults accept, the young people always accept, because they look to adults for everything. And, of course, that's why if adults allow lots and lots of porn out there, then it must be OK too. I mean, adults, that's their role models, you understand. And that's why youngsters believe that money is natural. And they don't even ask where it comes from, who controls it, or anything else. Their parents use it. Their parents give them coinage. They go off and buy themselves candies, so it must be quite natural. We're trained from a very early age into the entire system.

But to do with spying, too, there's an article from Eric Margolis, who's a good journalist. And he's been on the go for years and he's generally very, very fair, in fact. And he's done some really good, in-depth investigations. The way he takes something on, he explains it very well. But, he's on about spying here. He says,

"Spying Run Amok"

Alan: He says.

"We know that electronic spying has completely run amok when tiny

Luxembourg's prime minister, Jean-Claude Juncker, just resigned over a nasty scandal involving his nation's tiny intelligence service. According to some reports, Luxembourg's ruler, Grand Duke Henri, was bugged by means of a Dick Tracy-style watch. All this recalls the late comic Peter Sellers's delightfully silly film, 'The Mouse that Roared.'"

Alan: It's a good laugh – that movie – actually. But it says,

"Europe, however, is not laughing. Recent revelations of massive, ultra-intrusive US electronic spying in Europe by fugitive National Security Agency (NSA) contractor Edward Snowden have ignited a firestorm of outrage – and hypocrisy – across the European Union."

Alan: And he's right, there too. A lot of the hypocrisy is from the general public because all the government's been telling you for years now, *we're spying on you, we're spying on you*. And so have the big corporations that provide your services for internet and so on. So, really, Snowden hasn't told us anything new. But, it says,

"Germany, with sinister memories of the Gestapo and East German Stasi, is particularly incensed. The magazine 'Der Spiegel' says documents shown it by Snowden show that NSA read half a billion phone calls, emails, faxes and bank communications in Germany alone – in a month. German officials called this spying 'disgusting' and 'intolerable.' France's foreign minister, Alain Juppé, denounced the US for spying on a close ally. Russia and China rubbed their hands in glee over Washington's acute embarrassment."

Alan: They're not embarrassed at all. But it says,

"Two points to keep in mind. First, dear old Uncle Sam indeed spies on everybody and everyone. His big electronic ears, and those of his very close allies, Hoover up all electronic communications – and have done so for decades."

Alan: They did it all through the Cold War and they never stopped, you understand? Including doing it on Germany.

"Every foreign embassy in Washington is bugged; most secret codes are broken by NSA's giant computers and math wizards. Now we learn that even our personal computers, cell phones and keyboards are bugged. It's clear that surveillance technology has far outdistanced the restraints of law or good government. The giant security bureaucracy is out of control. One wonders just how much useful information Big Brother can distill from the sea of data he acquires? In East Germany and the Soviet Union, a 'listener' was always eavesdropping and taking notes. But that was so Cold War. Today, computers filter and parse every word, looking for key phrases, red-flagged words, or patterns."

Alan: Now, it's really interesting, too, because this is old stuff, but again, it's another repeat. But, I read the articles five years ago, when they said that they bugged your keyboards now. They were making them that way by law. Anyway, it says

"Second, Europe's politicians are loudly denouncing the US for spying on their people. But Britain, Germany, Italy, Holland, Spain and Belgium signed secret pacts with the US decades ago allowing NSA and CIA to spy on their citizens, and to share intelligence with Washington. The largest NSA listening post in Europe is inside NATO HQ at Brussels."

Alan: In Belgium. And it says,

"France's intelligence agencies, notably, DGSE and predecessor SDECE, were notorious for bugging their citizens, politicians and foreign targets. Most French assumed everything said on the phone was recorded. Even pillow talk with one's mistress was risky. DGSE even went so far as the bug the First Class seats on Air France's flights. Britain's hush-hush GCHQ listening agency was almost a subsidiary of NSA and CIA. Ditto for electronic listening agencies in Australia, New Zealand, Denmark and Canada. The Soviet-run Warsaw Pact had a similar structure: the East bloc's security agencies became 'little brothers' of KGB. Bulgaria's spy agency was used for 'wet affairs,'"

Alan: That's when you kill folk.

"the Czechs for sophisticated operations; the East Germans for infiltrating West Germany. US intelligence uses the same subsidiary structure in Europe and parts of Asia. The recent refusal by France, Spain, Italy and Portugal to allow the president of Bolivia's aircraft to transit their air space because of an erroneous CIA report Ed Snowden was aboard shocked most Europeans and made them wonder just how strong American influence really is over their governments."

Alan: But what's interesting too, I think it was two or three years ago – I read it on the air – that the US had changed a lot of policy, that anybody they want, anybody they want that flies over their airspace, even if they're going to Latin America, from Canada or anywhere in the world, they give themselves the right to bring them down inside US airspace, so they can get the person and interrogate them. It says,

"France, the self-proclaimed champion of human rights and asylum for political refugees covered itself with shame and dishonor in the 'affaire Snowden.' Even so, Washington's lame excuse 'everyone does it' or blaming 'terrorism' is clearly bogus."

Alan: And that's a good statement: *"...blaming 'terrorism' is clearly bogus."*

"No other nation mounts such an intensive worldwide electronic spying operation. Spying on EU trade negotiators discussing banana quotas has nothing to do with so-called terrorism. Bureaucrats and politicians hate whistle-blowers. Not so much because these brave, public-spirited people reveal deep dark secrets of state, but because they cause sharp political embarrassment and identify all sorts of dirty business concealed from voters. That's why lynchings are planned for both US Army whistle-blower Bradley Manning and Ed Snowden. The real 'national security' issue involved here is the security of hypocritical politicians and career bureaucrats."

Alan: You understand that the whole system is not there to serve the public at all. The whole system is there to serve incredibly big private corporations, folks. And the politicians are all in their pockets. I hope you understand that. I hope you really understand that.

And, this article too... it's a good website actually. It gives a lot of information about psychotronic weapons. I've mentioned about psychotronics and the technotronic era that Brzezinski talked about back in the 70's, where he said that they already had techniques and systems which could go across the whole continent and affect the mind, the minds of all the people, either docile or very placid, or aggressive or neurotic or whatever else. Because big government has always been involved in control of the minds of the general population. So is big business, of course too. But, this says,

"How Far in the Future is the Ban of Psychotronic Weapons"

Alan: And it says,

"They are in the arsenals of NATO and many other states. Though there are no legislatures around the world prohibiting the governments the use of those weapons against their citizens."

Alan: They're signing international ones claiming they won't use them against other nations, but actually they did use them in Gulf War I. That came out in the British papers, when they bombarded for about forty hours, the troops, the Iraqi troops that were Arabs and Iraqi troops in Gulf War I and they used them in the trenches. And you saw these Iraqis eventually coming out completely dazed, didn't know their names or anything else and just giving themselves up. They were completely like zombies. And that's the state they were in after getting heavy, heavy bombardment on a certain frequency, because you can adjust the frequency for different effects, you understand. But that didn't come out in the papers, at the time in Britain. And it says,

"In March 2012 the Russian defense minister Anatoli Serdjukov said: 'The development of weaponry based on new physics principles; direct-energy weapons, geophysical weapons, wave-energy weapons, genetic weapons, psychotronic weapons, etc., is part of the state arms procurement program for 2011-2020,'"

Alan: And I'll put the link up for that one too. It says,

"The world media reacted to this hint on the open use of psychotronic weapons by the publication of scientific experiments from the 1960's where electromagnetic waves were used to transmit simple sounds into the human brain."

Alan: Now, remember too, we found that these things were shown on Canadian television in the nineties, late nineties, that they were mobile, that actually you could put them in your pocket and aim them at people on the Wendy Mesley show with Dr. Nick Begich.

Back with more after this break.

{Break ♪}

Hi, folks. We're back. Cutting Through the Matrix. I just mentioned that Dr. Nick Begich demonstrated some of this old stuff from the 1950's and 60's on the Wendy Mesley show quite a few years back. And it was remote, of course, and you hold this little thing in your hand. You can put it in your pocket. He had a whole table full of this stuff - "obsolete," he said - from the 50's and 60's that the CIA used. And Wendy put; she would hear music in the middle of her head at a distance when it was pointed at her and, of course, these things were actually used to put sounds and voices in your head. Old stuff. It actually works very well, in fact. And, of course, they also demonstrated when they went over to the European Parliament because some of them worried about getting psychotronics used on *them*, some of the politicians, and they demonstrated some of them to the politicians. It can make you throw up. They can make you lose your concentration completely, until you switch it off and things like that. These are very advanced weapons, indeed. And, of course, this is the small-scale stuff. But this says here, that it also happened too that...

"Most of them avoided saying that since then extensive scientific research has been carried out in this area throughout the world. Only a Colombian newspaper, El Spectador, published an article covering the whole scale of the achievements of this research."

Alan: And, I'll put those links up tonight too and the English translation, as well. And it says,

"British Daily Mail, as another exception, wrote that research in electromagnetic weapons has been secretly carried out in the USA and Russia since the 1950's and that 'previous research has shown that low-frequency waves or beams can affect brain cells, alter psychological states and make it possible to transmit suggestions and commands directly into someone's thought processes. High doses of microwaves can damage the functioning of internal organs, control behaviour or even drive victims to suicide."

Alan: And there's a link to do with that too. There's also an article to do with Putin who targeted foes and it's called "zombie gun attack" and they actually used it on

some of their foes and it causes central nervous system collapse and different effects too.

"In 1975, a neuropsychologist Don R. Justesen, the director of Laboratories of Experimental Neuropsychology at Veterans Administration Hospital in Kansas City, unwittingly leaked National Security Information. He published an article in 'American Psychologist' on the influence of microwaves on living creatures' behavior. In the article he quoted the results of an experiment described to him over a phone conversation by his colleague, Joseph C. Sharp, who was working on Pandora, a secret project of the American Navy. Don R. Justesen wrote in his article: 'By radiating themselves with these 'voice modulated' microwaves, Sharp and Grove were readily able to hear, identify, and distinguish among the 9 words. The sounds heard were not unlike those emitted by persons with artificial larynxes.'"

Alan: That was back in '75. Now it would sound like your own voice actually, your own thoughts. And another one too: it says,

"That this system was later brought to perfection is proved by the document which appeared on the website of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency in 1997, where its Office of Research and Development presented Departments of Defense's project: 'Communicating Via the Microwave Auditory Effect'. In the description it said: 'An innovative and revolutionary technology is described that offers a low-probability-of-intercept radiofrequency (RF) communications. The feasibility of the concept has been established using both a low intensity laboratory system and a high power RF transmitter. Numerous military applications exist in areas of search and rescue, security and special operations.'"

Alan: Now, again, that's how they'll always end things: it's to help people. This is all developed by the big DARPA boys to warfare projects, for warfare. And the biggest problem that all governments have today is totally controlling their own subjects or citizens. Remember that. It says,

"In January 2007 the Washington Post wrote on the same subject: 'In 2002, the Air Force Research Laboratory patented precisely such a technology: using microwaves to send words into someone's head. Rich Garcia, a spokesman for the research laboratory's directed energy directorate, declined to discuss that patent or current or related research in the field, citing the lab's policy not to comment on its microwave work. In response to a Freedom of Information Act request filed for this article, the Air Force released unclassified documents surrounding that 2002 patent -- records that note that the patent was based on human experimentation in October 1994 at the Air Force lab, where scientists were able to transmit phrases into the heads of human subjects, albeit with marginal intelligibility. We can only stress again that the world media avoid publishing the full scale of the progress in the research of the remote control of human nervous system.'"

Alan: And, remember too, a few years ago too, it came out in the media, that in New York, they were putting in a tower somewhere there. The ability to actually direct sounds or voices into a person's head to do with advertising. That's what they said: *to do with advertising*. Well, I bet it was the military boys that ran that too. This is a long, long article. And I'll put it up tonight. And it's well worth going through. Lots of links on it. And it's all government stuff too, that they're quoting here and links to that, as well. And it's from Indy Media, from the UK. And it's well worth going through, for those who are interested in what's going on. Because, when you read the articles and the books put out by the big boys, back in the 50's and 60's, the boys who were heavily involved in bringing in the world future globalist society, reducing the population, while they controlled it at the same time and brought it down. When they meant controlling the populations, it doesn't mean just taking down the numbers, folks. They mean control. Back with more, after this.

{Break ♪- You're listening to the Republic Broadcasting Network, because you can handle the truth!}

Hi, folks. This is Cutting Through the Matrix. And, of course, this is not to terrify people. Not that folk can be terrified today. Most folk have adapted to cell phones so quickly anyway. And they can't do without it, most of them. I've never had one and never will have one, because what's the point? I mean I don't really need one. I don't have to be called no matter where I am - having a walk or whatever else or on the toilet. I don't need to know what's going on. People can wait or whatever or get in touch in other ways and I can get back to them when I want to. But this "on-call" idea is another way of training yourself. You've been trained. This is self-pleasing and self-training on behalf of the governments that own you, basically. But, we know that all the big providers and so on are in bed with the NSA. A lot of companies too, I know are front companies *for* the NSA. The NSA, like the CIA too, put up real companies and corporations out there that really do exist and that's what puts folk off. They think, *oh well, I guess that's a private corporation. Nothing to do with...* no, that's one of their... it's not just an asset. They own it. It's government money that sets a lot of these guys up. Last night, I made a phone call after the show and a voice came on - a recorded voice - and it says, *your call will be put through, even though Verizon has not been paid its bill*. Now, you see, I'm getting listened to all the time. I've got lots of problems on the phone and so on. And, often, someone calls me back because it's a bad line or whatever because it's getting tapped, you see. You'll hear all the different sounds that are made and so on and you try to call back. You can't get access because the lines still busy. The third party is still... the thing that records is still on the go, you see. You have to wait until their machine packs in. But, I'm not on Verizon. You see, I've got nothing to do with Verizon. I never have had anything to do with Verizon and neither has the person that was calling. So, I guess whatever agency that was involved here should pay their darn bill to Verizon, the one that's snooping on me.

Now here's an article here though. And it says,

"The NSA Has Inserted Its Code Into Android OS, Or Three Quarters Of All Smartphones"

"Over a decade ago, it was discovered that the NSA embedded backdoor access into Windows 95, and likely into virtually all other subsequent internet connected, desktop-based operating systems."

However, with the passage of time, more and more people went "mobile", and as a result the NSA had to adapt. And adapt they have, as Bloomberg reports. The NSA is quietly writing code for Google's Android OS. Is it ironic that the same 'don't be evil' Google which went to such great lengths in the aftermath of the Snowden scandal to wash its hands of snooping on its customers and even filed a request with the secretive FISA court asking permission to disclose more information about the government's data requests, is embedding NSA code into its mobile operating system, which according to IDC runs on three-quarters of all smartphones shipped in the first quarter? Google spokeswoman Gina Scigliano confirms that the company has already inserted some of the NSA's programming in Android OS."

Alan: And she said,

"All Android code and contributors are publicly available for review at source.android.com. Scigliano says, declining to comment further."

Alan: And,

"From Bloomberg:..."

Alan: He says,

"Through its open-source Android project, Google has agreed to incorporate code, first developed by the agency in 2011, into future versions of its mobile operating system, which according to market researcher IDC runs on three-quarters of the smartphones shipped globally in the first quarter. NSA officials say their code, known as Security Enhancements for Android,"

Alan: I like how they do things... so Orwellian. "Security Enhancements."

"isolates apps to prevent hackers"

Alan: What a joke, eh? As they hack you (NSA).

"and marketers from gaining access to personal or corporate data stored on a device. Eventually all new phones, tablets, televisions, cars, and other devices that rely on Android will include NSA code,"

Alan: This is from Bloomberg.

"agency spokeswoman Vanee' Vines said in an e-mailed statement. NSA researcher Stephen Smalley, who works on the program, says, 'Our goal is to raise the bar in the security of commodity mobile devices.'"

Alan: Again, it's lowering the bar for the NSA's access. It says,

"See, there's no need to worry: the reason the NSA is generously providing the source code for every Google-based smartphone is for your own security."

Alan: So, go back to sleep, right? Oh but it's open-sourced, so someone else will

intercept any and all attempts at malice. We forgot about that.

Alan: The story goes on in saying,

"In a 2011 presentation obtained by Bloomberg Businessweek, Smalley listed among the benefits of the program that it's 'normally invisible to users.' The program's top goal, according to that presentation: 'Improve our understanding of Android security.'"

Alan: Well, one wouldn't want their bug to be visible to users, now, would one? And it goes on and on. But that's what we live in today and I knew this off the bat, when they came out with the personal computer, like it's yours. And they gave you all these different applications too and firewalls and they can set it all for *your* privacy with your *personal* computer. I knew that was a con right off the bat. It was all put out and worked out long before they were giving it to you by the NSA. Of course it was. But that's how they con you. You see, if the government mandated that everyone must buy one, you would say, what's going on here? But, you see, when the government makes you *want* it – and it's going to be yours because you're going to buy it... it's mine, my personal computer – you're completely, you're a goner, you're really a goner.

Anyway, it says... it goes on, as I say, to talk about Apple and all the rest of them too. They're all the same and etc., etc., etc. But that's the way it is and it was set up to be that way.

And also too, this farce in Britain, with the National Health Service, which, of course, the US is going to bring in too, because they must go by the guidelines in the charter of the United Nations, that said, every nation must provide the most minimal rates of hospital and medical care. Minimal. You understand what that means? And that's the agenda for the world. Of course, the big wigs can get special hospitals in Switzerland and all the rest of it and military bases, but not for you. But they're also blaming the fact that there's low staffing of trained staff and that's what's causing all the death rates, high mortality. It's not that at all. The government's been slashing their budget for years and years and years. And, of course, the guys at the top, the big chiefs in charge, want their salaries to continue, so they slash all the qualified staff down below. Obviously, what happens is you've got a bunch of assistants working there that aren't really trained and folk die. It's just life. It's just the cost of dealing with life. You know. That's all it is, to them at the top, that is.

Also, this is a good article to do... it's called

"Barnaby is Right"

Alan: It's quite a good website, actually. And it says,

"New Zealand Banks are 'Pre-positioning' for Cyprus- Style Bail-In "

Alan: Now, they're all doing it. All the countries have done it because the World Bank came out with this mandate for all the other countries along with the Bank for International Settlements. They made pretty well a ruling at their last meeting. And Canada went into action; all the other countries went into action. It means they can go in and steal all of your accounts. And it says,

"New Zealand has joined with Europe, the USA, the UK, Canada, and

Australia, in preparing to 'bail-in'..."

Alan: Or *steal* your bank accounts. It says,

"Excerpted from Timeline For 'Bail-In' Of G20 Banking System"

Alan: You see, all this G20 club that's a private club that we never voted for, of course. It's formed itself by the CFR. It was in charge of signing it all. It says... it goes on to talk about what's happened. It says,

"New Zealand's version of the FSB-directed bank 'bail-in' regime appears even worse than the rest of the West. Unlike the others, New Zealand does not even have the pretense of a 'government guarantee' or 'deposits insurance' scheme for small depositors."

Alan: And this is what it says here, from this bill. It says

"Bill English is proposing a Cyprus-style solution for managing bank failure here in New Zealand – a solution that will see small depositors lose some of their savings to fund big bank bailouts," said Green Party Co-leader Dr Russell Norman. The Reserve Bank is in the final stages of implementing a system of managing bank failure called Open Bank Resolution. The scheme will put all bank depositors on the hook for bailing out their bank. Depositors will overnight have their savings shaved by the amount needed to keep the bank afloat."

Alan: So, there's an open amount there, amount needed. It says.

"While the details are still to be finalized, nearly all depositors will see their savings reduced by the same proportions. Bill English is wrong to assume everyday people are able to judge the soundness of their bank."

Alan: I mean, how can you. You can never get the truth out of them.

"Not even sophisticated investors like Merrill Lynch saw the global financial crisis coming."

Alan: Oh, they did, alright. They just kept their mouth shut. It says,

"If he insists on pushing through this unfair scheme, small depositors can be protected ahead of time with a notified savings threshold below which their savings will be safe from any interference." Dr Norman questioned the Government's insistence on pursuing Open Bank Resolution when virtually no other OECD country uses it. Open Bank Resolution is unprecedented in the world. Most OECD countries run deposit insurance schemes which protect people's deposits up to a maximum ranging from \$100,000 – \$250,000," Dr Norman said. 'OBR is not in line with Australia, which protects bank deposits up to \$250,000. Unfortunately, all the 'deposit insurance' schemes in the

West are not worth the paper they're written on."

Alan: And that's true as well. Believe you me, when it happens, folks, I don't care what they tell you. You see, they're trying to keep enough money in the banks, like \$100,000 from a lot of people at the top, who've got a lot a cash, to keep the banks going, but they'll grab that too. They'll grab that too. The big boys themselves don't put their money in banks. It's like Prince Charles there; they've got it in trust funds and all the rest of it. And they pay no taxes on it because it's not a corporation. I read yesterday. It says,

"Cleverly, the FSB's new G20 'bail-in' regime reclassifies customer deposits as 'equity'..."

Alan: So, again, just a change of the meaning of something. So, your deposit becomes equity

"...in the failing bank, or, in a new 'bridging' bank (i.e., 'recapitalization'). This makes the customer's 'stake'..."

Alan: You don't have a stake, you see.

*"...Formerly a deposit — in that 'new' bank liable for forfeiture.
"More on this in future posts."*

Alan: It's quite a good article too. It goes through the meanings of the terms they're now implementing too, which most folk don't go into. If you don't know what they are, you're doomed. Because, you know, the cunningness of people... I've mentioned that if you don't know what language means, you're doomed, folks. And that's what lawyers are all about. And they also reinterpret words all the time and give them kind of sleepy sounding names to keep you all asleep. And it works awfully well, awfully, awfully well.

Also, in Europe this big new Soviet bloc, the dream of the big boys, Royal Institute of International Affairs/CFR – Karl Marx too, by the way. And, of course, NAFTA is the same deal, eventually, and the Far-Eastern Pacific conglomerate as well – it's all part of it. The three big massive trading blocs. They have to eventually go this way that Europe's gone, where you're under this massive super-parliament, with a commission at the top, that nobody votes for. In fact, you don't even know what they're doing. The politicians down below are there for show. They get to bombast each other and get little bits on TV as they write up good humor, to whack each other with and so on, but they can't vote, so what's the point in having them? They can't either recall any kind of bills or whatever else or cancel any laws. I mean they are just there for show. So, it's not meant to be democratic at all. It's run by bureaucrats and so on. Interbred bureaucrats too. It's like the United Nations. You realize in the United Nations, most folk who work there permanently in the bureaucracy, are all intermarried and it's like third generation there. They have their own school at the United Nations, as well. And they teach them a completely different version of history. They give them a different version of humanities and all the rest of it. They don't get all the commie stuff they make us all believe, you see. They're taught to be really firm about things and you have to be dictatorial, because one day, they have to rule, you understand. And they're not going to play

politics with you. They'll just tell you what you're doing and you'd better do it. That's how they talk to you. Anyway, this is from Europe. It says

"Narrative for Europe"

Alan: Again, "narratives for Europe"... who's going to look this silly thing up. It sounds like, *oh, this boring old thing. I'll give that a skip.* It's to do with starting up a whole new European culture, folks, to do away with the old nations and so on. So,

"Narratives for Europe is the ECF's overarching theme, from 2010-2012..."

Alan: It's still ongoing, by the way, just mentioned again, you see. You see,

"It'll be the connecting thread for our grants and activities."

Alan: Again, you change it by... you pay all the arts and the production and television as well to put out stuff to give a new... whatever this new European theme happens to be.

"A new webspace brings together all work, insights and debates that arise in our search for new perspectives, capable of crossing and not defining borders. The discoveries will also be showcased during a Narratives for Europe event in the autumn..."

Alan: It was already done in 2012 in Amsterdam.

"The search in narratives and visions for today's Europe, people often don't see the need for Europe in a world often divided into the local and the global. This disconnection between Europe and its citizens is being filled with new versions of old nation-based narratives."

Alan: You know, *old nations*. You know, the old nations that had people and cultures and so on.

"So, what are the new perspectives of Europe at the beginning of the 21st century? Which new narratives inspire young generations?"

Alan: See, you must always go for the young. Just like the Soviets did too. I mean a lot of the Soviets are actually in the European Parliament now, at the top. It says,

"There's a clear urgent need to share our stories, create new narratives for Europe for today and tomorrow."

Alan: And it gives you the website to visit and *share* the stories, etc.

"Bring it all together. In developing this initiative, the webspace, we are working with artists, young video-makers, writers, scholars and

cultural activists."

Alan: Cultural activists, right?

"from across Europe and beyond. The Narratives for Europe is a space where experiences and memories and perspectives and questions are being asked and reflected on, highlighted, keeping you updated on all narrative-related activities."

Alan: And then it goes onto two different talks and so on and so on. But it's all to do with paying for it all. Massive grants, you see, to create a new utopia. Utopia, it's called, for Europe. Utopia. They made what they called the Soviet Union, the Worker's Utopia. Remember that? Etc. Same stuff. But this is the whole new culture of Europe. This is only one part of it too. There's many organizations put out by the same EU Cultural Foundation.

Also, you've got to admit, some guys really have chutzpah, you know.

"Owner of World Trade Center is trying to sue airlines"

Alan: You know, the guy that got all the money back because he just insured it about two weeks before it got hit with the planes. Remember he owned it? It wasn't all the money he put in for the insurance. And I know he put down a deposit that was quite legal and he got all that back. Anyway, it says, he

"is trying to sue airlines for BILLIONS for 9/11 attacks... even though he was already paid \$5 billion in insurance. Larry Silverstein, the owner of the World Trade Center, is seeking \$3.5 billion from United Airlines, US Airways and American Airlines"

Alan: He

"argues that the 9-11 attacks cost his property group more than \$7 billion. The new One World Trade Center alone cost \$3.9 billion."

Alan: Well, why not? I mean he'll probably get away with it. You know, he's got a lot of relatives in the law business, I'm sure too, that'll help him along etc., etc. And if you don't try, you'll never know, eh? Isn't that how it goes? If you don't try, you'll never know. Back with more after this.

{Break ♪}

Hi, folks. This is Cutting Through the Matrix. You know, the Marxist system was awfully profitable for big corporations from the West too, all through the Soviet era. But under the con, of course, that the State, in communism, the State, would have complete control and ownership of all the means of production. And it always put me in mind of what Lenin said too, that the dictatorship of the proletariat, meaning over the proletariat, would last about seventy years or a generation he said, basically, which it did. And he said, eventually, he says, then, the Soviet system (communism) would merge with capitalism to an extent and would create a new type of system - the Third Way, you see. And it *has*. Because now, what you do, is you have corporations and government working together. Isn't that much

better? Where the corporations and government work together and the public are still the slaves and the public fund all the research and development and infrastructure for big capital business? The EU, again – this beautiful big EU nondemocratic system – and it says,

“Industry to invest €22 billion in research and innovation”

Alan: That's no big deal just because they've been doing it since the beginning of the EU and the US and Canada and all other countries are doing the same thing and happy all along anyway, isn't it? So, this is what Lenin was talking about. This is a new system. It's much more efficient for the corporations who own governments, isn't it? So,

“The European Commission, member states and industry will invest more than €22 billion over the next seven years in innovation.”

Alan: And here it goes. Here's always the hook for the public.

“The investment will primarily go to special sectors via five public-private partnerships called Joint Technology Initiatives (JTIs)... to create new, better-paid and more durable jobs and sustainable economic growth, to boost Europe's global competitiveness.”

Alan: You see? It's amazing too; we take it for granted that we're supposed to be competitive. Are we born as a people just to compete with other people? Is that what it's really all about in this system? We take that for granted. I mean if you're really competing, I mean, do you ever really win this race when you're a runner at the bottom? Because you're always running, your whole life. You always lose at the end of it.

“In certain areas, formal partnerships with the private sector and member states offer the most effective way to meet the goals of the EU research and innovation programme, Horizon 2020, the EU executive said.”

Alan: And then it goes on to talk about all their innovation and science and so on and all the rest of it. It says,

“‘There need to bolster both public and private spending if we are to stay in, never mind ahead of the game,’ she said.”

Alan: So, they're proposing an

“€8 billion investment from Horizon 2020 will secure around €10 billion from the industry, and close to €4 billion from member states.”

Alan: By the time they're finished, it'll be quadruple that, like it always is, isn't it? And,

“Neelie Kroes, the Commissioner responsible for the Digital Agenda, said that in the EU there's a lot of talk about 'investing in the future”

Alan: Well, it's a corporation's future, isn't it? Where you all compete at the bottom too. Who you going to outpace? China? What's the joke about this competing stuff? I mean, the Royal Institute for International Affairs/CFR *created* the World Trade Organization and actually *paid* companies with your tax money to move over to China and do their business in China to sell all the junk back to you and get it at really marginal prices – the cost for the company for producing. And they start with the big, big profits at the top because it didn't lower the cost of the things when they sell it to you, from China. You're going to compete with China? And we're going to create jobs, just like China? Really? You can't. What you get in China wouldn't pay your heating bill here. And they know that. Never mind your taxes.

From Hamish and myself, from Ontario, Canada, it's goodnight and may your God or your gods go with you.

{Closing Music ♪}

Topics of show covered in following links:

[Spying Run Amok](#)

[Psychotronic Weaponry](#)

[Russia Eyes Development of Futuristic Weaponry](#)

[& More on Above](#)

[The NSA Should Give All "Smart" Phones Out Free Since They Put NSA Backdoors in Them](#)

[The Cause and Effect Farce of the NHS](#)

[New Zealand Pre-Positioning for Bank "Bail-Ins"](#)

[Culture Industry Works to "Redefine" Europe](#)

[Owner of World Trade Center is trying to sue airlines for BILLIONS for 9/11 attacks... even though he was already paid \\$5billion in insurance](#)

[Tax-Funded Corporate Research Grants, Europe](#)

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

[BOOKS](#) "Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....."

Volumes 1, 2, 3

Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation:
"Esperando el Milagro....." ([Español](#)) & "Esperando um Milagre....." ([Português](#))

Ancient Religions
and History MP3

CDs

CDs: & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix'
Part 1 (1998) and Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)
Part 2 (1998-2000)

DVDs

"Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom,
Esoterica and ...TIME"